2019 MANAGEMENT FEE EVALUATION
APPROVAL OF ADVISORY AGREEMENTS DURING THE PERIOD

JANUS INVESTMENT FUND

The Trustees of Janus Investment Fund, each of whom serves as an “independent” Trustee (the “Trustees”), oversee the management of each Fund of Janus Investment Fund (each, a “JIF Fund,” and collectively, the “JIF Funds”), as well as each Portfolio of Janus Aspen Series (together with the JIF Funds, the “Janus Henderson Funds,” and each, a “Janus Henderson Fund”). As required by law, the Trustees determine annually whether to continue the investment advisory agreement for each Janus Henderson Fund and the subadvisory agreement for each Janus Henderson Funds that utilizes a subadviser.

In connection with their most recent consideration of those agreements for each Janus Henderson Fund, the Trustees received and reviewed information provided by Janus Capital and each subadviser in response to requests of the Trustees and their independent legal counsel. They also received and reviewed information and analysis provided by, and in response to requests of, their independent fee consultant. Throughout their consideration of the agreements, the Trustees were advised by their independent legal counsel. The Trustees met with management to consider the agreements and the information provided, and also met separately in executive session with their independent legal counsel and their independent fee consultant.

At a meeting held on December 6, 2018, based on the Trustees’ evaluation of the information provided by Janus Capital, the subadvisers, and the independent fee consultant, as well as other information, the Trustees determined that the overall arrangements between each Janus Henderson Fund and Janus Capital and each subadviser, as applicable, were fair and reasonable in light of the nature, extent and quality of the services provided by Janus Capital, its affiliates and the subadvisers, the fees charged for those services, and other matters that the Trustees considered relevant in the exercise of their business judgment. At that meeting, the Trustees unanimously approved the continuation of the investment advisory agreement for each Janus Henderson Fund, and the subadvisory agreement for each subadvised Janus Henderson Fund, for the period from February 1, 2019 through February 1, 2020, subject to earlier termination as provided for in each agreement.

In considering the continuation of those agreements, the Trustees reviewed and analyzed various factors that they determined were relevant, including the factors described below, none of which by itself was considered dispositive. However, the material factors and conclusions that formed the basis for the Trustees’ determination to approve the continuation of the agreements are discussed separately below. Also included is a summary of the independent fee consultant’s conclusions and opinions that arose during, and were included as part of, the Trustees’ consideration of the agreements. “Management fees,” as used herein, refer to actual annual advisory fees (and, for the purposes of peer comparisons any administration fees excluding out of pocket costs), net of any waivers, paid by a fund as a percentage of average net assets.

Nature, Extent and Quality of Services
The Trustees reviewed the nature, extent and quality of the services provided by Janus Capital and the subadvisers to the Janus Henderson Funds, taking into account the investment objective, strategies and policies of each Janus Henderson Fund, and the knowledge the Trustees gained from their regular meetings with management on at least a quarterly basis and their ongoing review of information related to the Janus Henderson Funds. In addition, the Trustees reviewed the resources and key personnel of Janus Capital and each subadviser, particularly noting those employees who provide investment and risk management services to the Janus Henderson Funds. The Trustees also considered other services provided to the Janus Henderson Funds by Janus Capital or the subadvisers, such as managing the execution of portfolio transactions and the selection of broker-dealers for those transactions. The Trustees considered Janus Capital’s role as administrator to the Janus Henderson Funds, noting that Janus Capital does not receive a fee for its services but is reimbursed for its out-of-pocket costs. The Trustees considered the role of Janus Capital in monitoring adherence to the Janus Henderson Funds’ investment restrictions, providing support services for the Trustees and Trustee committees, and overseeing communications with fund shareholders and the activities of other service providers, including monitoring compliance with various policies and procedures of the Janus Henderson Funds and with applicable securities laws and regulations.
In this regard, the independent fee consultant noted that Janus Capital provides a number of different services for the Janus Henderson Funds and fund shareholders, ranging from investment management services to various other servicing functions, and that, in its view, Janus Capital is a capable provider of those services. The independent fee consultant also expressed the view that Janus Capital has developed a number of institutional competitive advantages that should enable it to provide superior investment and service performance over the long term.

The Trustees concluded that the nature, extent and quality of the services provided by Janus Capital and the subadviser to each Janus Henderson Fund that utilizes a subadviser were appropriate and consistent with the terms of the respective investment advisory and subadvisory agreements, and that, taking into account steps taken to address those Janus Henderson Funds whose performance lagged that of their peers for certain periods, the Janus Henderson Funds were likely to benefit from the continued provision of those services. They also concluded that Janus Capital and each subadviser had sufficient personnel, with the appropriate education and experience, to serve the Janus Henderson Funds effectively and had demonstrated its ability to attract well-qualified personnel.

**Performance of the Funds**
The Trustees considered the performance results of each Janus Henderson Fund over various time periods. They noted that they considered Janus Henderson Fund performance data throughout the year, including periodic meetings with each Janus Henderson Fund’s portfolio manager(s), and also reviewed information comparing each Janus Henderson Fund’s performance with the performance of comparable funds and peer groups identified by Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc. (“Broadridge”), an independent data provider, and with the Janus Henderson Fund’s benchmark index. In this regard, the independent fee consultant found that the overall Janus Henderson Funds’ performance has been reasonable: for the 36 months ended September 30, 2018, approximately 48% of the Janus Henderson Funds were in the top two quartiles of performance, as reported by Morningstar, and for the 12 months ended September 30, 2018, approximately 56% of the Janus Henderson Funds were in the top two quartiles of performance, as reported by Morningstar.

The Trustees considered the performance of each Janus Henderson Fund, noting that performance may vary by share class, and noted the following with respect to the JIF Funds:

**Alternative Fund**
- For Janus Henderson Diversified Alternatives Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the second Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the second Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018.

**Asset Allocation Funds**
- For Janus Henderson Global Allocation Fund – Conservative, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the second Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the first Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018.
- For Janus Henderson Global Allocation Fund – Growth, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the third Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the first Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance, the steps Janus Capital had taken or was taking to improve performance, and that the performance trend was improving.
- For Janus Henderson Global Allocation Fund – Moderate, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the third Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the first Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance, the steps Janus Capital had taken or was taking to improve performance, and that the performance trend was improving.

**Fixed-Income Funds**
- For Janus Henderson Flexible Bond Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the third Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance and the steps Janus Capital had taken or was taking to improve performance.
- For Janus Henderson Global Bond Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the first Broadridge quartile for the 12 months
ended May 31, 2018. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance, the steps Janus Capital had taken or was taking to improve performance, and that the performance trend was improving.

- For Janus Henderson Global Unconstrained Bond Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance and the steps Janus Capital had taken or was taking to improve performance.

- For Janus Henderson High-Yield Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the second Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the third Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018.

- For Janus Henderson Multi-Sector Income Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the first Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the first Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018.

- For Janus Henderson Short-Term Bond Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance and the steps Janus Capital had taken or was taking to improve performance.

- For Janus Henderson Strategic Income Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the second Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the third Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018.

**Global and International Equity Funds**

- For Janus Henderson Asia Equity Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the third Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance, noting that the Fund has a performance fee structure that results in lower management fees during periods of underperformance, and the steps Janus Capital had taken or was taking to improve performance.

- For Janus Henderson Emerging Markets Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the third Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance and the steps Janus Capital had taken or was taking to improve performance.

- For Janus Henderson European Focus Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance and the steps Janus Capital had taken or was taking to improve performance.

- For Janus Henderson Global Equity Income Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance and the steps Janus Capital had taken or was taking to improve performance.

- For Janus Henderson Global Life Sciences Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the second Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the first Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018.

- For Janus Henderson Global Real Estate Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the first Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the first Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018.

- For Janus Henderson Global Research Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the third Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the third Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance, while also noting that the Fund has a performance fee structure that results in lower management fees during periods of underperformance, and the steps Janus Capital had taken or was taking to improve performance.

- For Janus Henderson Global Select Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the third Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the first Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance, the steps Janus Capital had taken or was taking to improve performance, and that the performance trend was improving.
For Janus Henderson Global Technology Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the second Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the third Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018.

For Janus Henderson Global Value Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the third Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance, while also noting that the Fund has a performance fee structure that results in lower management fees during periods of underperformance, and the steps Janus Capital and Perkins had taken or were taking to improve performance.

For Janus Henderson International Opportunities Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance and the steps Janus Capital had taken or was taking to improve performance.

For Janus Henderson International Small Cap Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the second Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018.

For Janus Henderson International Value Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance and the steps Janus Capital and Perkins had taken or were taking to improve performance.

For Janus Henderson Overseas Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the second Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance, while also noting that the Fund has a performance fee structure that results in lower management fees during periods of underperformance, the steps Janus Capital had taken or was taking to improve performance, and that the performance trend was improving.

### Money Market Funds

- For Janus Henderson Government Money Market Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the second Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the second Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018.

- For Janus Henderson Money Market Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the third Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the third Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance, including the impact of waivers on comparative peer performance.

### Multi-Asset Funds

- For Janus Henderson Adaptive Global Allocation Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance, the steps Janus Capital had taken or was taking to improve performance, and the Fund’s limited performance history.

- For Janus Henderson All Asset Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the third Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the third Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance and the steps Janus Capital had taken or was taking to improve performance.

- For Janus Henderson Dividend & Income Builder Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the second Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the third Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018.

- For Janus Henderson Value Plus Income Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the second Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the third Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018.

### Multi-Asset U.S. Equity Funds

- For Janus Henderson Balanced Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the first Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the first Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018.
• For Janus Henderson Contrarian Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the third Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance, while also noting that the Fund has a performance fee structure that results in lower management fees during periods of underperformance, and the steps Janus Capital had taken or was taking to improve performance.

• For Janus Henderson Enterprise Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the first Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the second Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018.

• For Janus Henderson Forty Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the second Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the third Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018.

• For Janus Henderson Growth and Income Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the first Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the first Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018.

• For Janus Henderson Research Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the third Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the third Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance, noting that the Fund has a performance fee structure that results in lower management fees during periods of underperformance, and the steps Janus Capital had taken or was taking to improve performance.

• For Janus Henderson Triton Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the second Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the third Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018.

• For Janus Henderson U.S. Growth Opportunities Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the third Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the first Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance, noting that the Fund has a performance fee structure that results in lower management fees during periods of underperformance, and the steps Janus Capital and Intech had taken or were taking to improve performance.

• For Janus Henderson Venture Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the second Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the third Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018.

Quantitative Equity Funds

• For Janus Henderson Emerging Markets Managed Volatility Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the third Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the first Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance, the steps Janus Capital and Intech had taken or were taking to improve performance, and that the performance trend was improving.

• For Janus Henderson Global Income Managed Volatility Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the first Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018.

• For Janus Henderson International Managed Volatility Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the second Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the second Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018.

• For Janus Henderson U.S. Managed Volatility Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the first Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the first Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018.

U.S. Equity Funds

• For Janus Henderson Large Cap Value Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the third Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the third Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance, while also noting that the Fund has a performance fee structure that results in lower management fees during periods of underperformance, and the steps Janus Capital and Perkins had taken or were taking to improve performance.

• For Janus Henderson Mid Cap Value Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the second Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the third Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018.
• For Janus Henderson Select Value Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the second Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018.

• For Janus Henderson Small Cap Value Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the second Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018.

In consideration of each Janus Henderson Fund’s performance, the Trustees concluded that, taking into account the factors relevant to performance, as well as other considerations, including steps taken to improve performance, the Janus Henderson Fund’s performance warranted continuation of such Janus Henderson Fund’s investment advisory and subadvisory agreement(s).

Costs of Services Provided
The Trustees examined information regarding the fees and expenses of each Janus Henderson Fund in comparison to similar information for other comparable funds as provided by Broadridge, an independent data provider. They also reviewed an analysis of that information provided by their independent fee consultant and noted that the management fee rate (investment advisory and any administration fees, but excluding out-of-pocket costs) for many of the Janus Henderson Funds, net of waivers, was below the average management fee rate of the respective peer group of funds selected by Broadridge. The Trustees also examined information regarding the subadvisory fees charged for subadvisory services, as applicable, noting that all such fees were paid by Janus Capital out of its management fees collected from such Janus Henderson Fund. The Trustees also considered the total expenses for each share class of each Janus Henderson Fund compared to the average total expenses for its Broadridge Expense Group peers and to average total expenses for its Broadridge Expense Universe.

The independent fee consultant expressed the view that the management fees charged by Janus Capital to each of the Janus Henderson Funds under the current investment advisory and administration agreements are reasonable in relation to the services provided by Janus Capital. At the fund complex level, the independent fee consultant found: (1) the total expenses and management fees of the Janus Henderson Funds to be reasonable relative to other mutual funds; (2) total expenses, on average, were 10% under the average total expenses for the respective Broadridge Expense Group peers and 19% under the average total expenses for the respective Broadridge Expense Universes; (3) management fees for the Janus Henderson Funds, on average, were 8% under the average management fees for the respective Expense Groups and 10% under the average for the respective Expense Universes; and (4) Janus Henderson Fund expenses by function for each asset and share class category were reasonable relative to peer benchmarks.

The independent fee consultant concluded that, based on its strategic review of expenses at the complex, category and individual share class level, Janus Henderson Fund expenses were found to be reasonable relative to peer benchmarks. Further, for certain Janus Henderson Funds, the independent fee consultant also performed a systematic “focus list” analysis of expenses in the context of the performance or service delivered to investors in each Janus Henderson Fund. Based on this analysis, the independent fee consultant found that the combination of service quality/performance and expenses on these individual Janus Henderson Funds were reasonable in light of performance trends, performance histories, and existence of performance fees, breakpoints, and expense waivers on such “focus list” Funds.

The Trustees considered the methodology used by Janus Capital and each subadviser in determining compensation payable to portfolio managers, the competitive environment for investment management talent, and the competitive market for mutual funds in different distribution channels.

The Trustees also reviewed management fees charged by Janus Capital and each subadviser to comparable separate account clients and to comparable non-affiliated funds subadvised by Janus Capital or by a subadviser (for which Janus Capital or the subadviser provides only or primarily portfolio management services). Although in most instances comparable subadvisory and separate account fee rates for various investment strategies were lower than management fee rates for Janus Henderson Funds having a similar strategy, while subadviser fee rates charged to the Janus Henderson Funds were generally within a reasonable range of the fee rates that the subadviser charges to comparable separate account clients or non-affiliated funds. The Trustees considered that Janus Capital noted that, under the terms of the management agreements with the Janus Henderson Funds, Janus Capital performs significant
additional services for the Janus Henderson Funds that it does not provide to those other clients, including administration services, oversight of the Janus Henderson Funds’ other service providers, trustee support, regulatory compliance and numerous other services, and that, in serving the Janus Henderson Funds, Janus Capital assumes many legal risks and other costs that it does not assume in servicing its other clients. Moreover, the Trustees noted that the independent fee consultant found that: (1) the management fees Janus Capital charges to the Janus Henderson Funds are reasonable in relation to the management fees Janus Capital charges to its institutional clients and to the fees Janus Capital charges to funds subadvised by Janus Capital; (2) these institutional and subadvised accounts have different service and infrastructure needs; (3) Janus Henderson mutual fund investors enjoy reasonable fees relative to the fees charged to Janus Henderson institutional and subadvised fund investors; (4) in three of five product categories, the Janus Henderson Funds receive proportionally better pricing than the industry in relation to Janus Henderson institutional clients; and (5) in six of seven strategies, Janus Capital has lower management fees than the management fees charged to funds subadvised by Janus Capital.

The Trustees considered the fees for each Janus Henderson Fund for its fiscal year ended in 2017, including the JIF Funds, and noted the following with regard to each JIF Fund’s total expenses, net of applicable fee waivers (the JIF Fund’s “total expenses”):

**Alternative Fund**
- For Janus Henderson Diversified Alternatives Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for certain share classes, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses.

**Asset Allocation Funds**
- For Janus Henderson Global Allocation Fund – Conservative, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for certain share classes, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses, although this limit did not apply because the Fund’s total expenses were already below the applicable fee limit.
- For Janus Henderson Global Allocation Fund – Growth, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for certain share classes, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses, although this limit did not apply because the Fund’s total expenses were already below the applicable fee limit.
- For Janus Henderson Global Allocation Fund – Moderate, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for certain share classes, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses, although this limit did not apply because the Fund’s total expenses were already below the applicable fee limit.

**Fixed-Income Funds**
- For Janus Henderson Flexible Bond Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for certain share classes, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses.
- For Janus Henderson Global Bond Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for certain share classes, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses.
- For Janus Henderson Global Unconstrained Bond Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for all share classes, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses, although this limit did not apply because the Fund’s total expenses were already below the applicable fee limit.
- For Janus Henderson High-Yield Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for certain share classes, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses, although this limit did not apply because the Fund’s total expenses were already below the applicable fee limit.
- For Janus Henderson Multi-Sector Income Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for certain share classes, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses.
For Janus Henderson Short-Term Bond Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for all share classes, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that the peer group comparisons did not take into account a recent management fee reduction for the Fund, effective December 14, 2018 and that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses at a lower (more favorable) level.

For Janus Henderson Strategic Income Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for certain share classes, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses.

Global and International Equity Funds

For Janus Henderson Asia Equity Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for certain share classes, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses.

For Janus Henderson Emerging Markets Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for one share class, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses.

For Janus Henderson European Focus Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for certain share classes, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses.

For Janus Henderson Global Equity Income Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s total expenses were below the peer group average for all share classes.

For Janus Henderson Global Life Sciences Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s total expenses were below the peer group average for all share classes.

For Janus Henderson Global Real Estate Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s total expenses were below the peer group average for all share classes.

For Janus Henderson Global Research Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s total expenses were below the peer group average for all share classes.

For Janus Henderson Global Select Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for one share class, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses, although this limit did not apply because the Fund’s total expenses were already below the applicable fee limit.

For Janus Henderson Global Technology Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s total expenses were below the peer group average for all share classes.

For Janus Henderson Global Value Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s total expenses were below the peer group average for all share classes.

For Janus Henderson International Opportunities Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for certain share classes, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses.

For Janus Henderson International Small Cap Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for one share class, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses.

For Janus Henderson International Value Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for one share class, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses.

For Janus Henderson Overseas Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s total expenses were below the peer group average for all share classes.

Money Market Funds

For Janus Henderson Government Money Market Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for one share class, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. In addition, the Trustees considered that Janus Capital voluntarily waives one-half of its advisory fee and other expenses in order to maintain a positive yield.

For Janus Henderson Money Market Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s total expenses were above the peer group average for both share classes. In addition, the Trustees considered that Janus Capital voluntarily waives one-half of its advisory fee and other expenses in order to maintain a positive yield.
**Multi-Asset Funds**
- For Janus Henderson Adaptive Global Allocation Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for one share class, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses.
- For Janus Henderson All Asset Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for one share class, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s total expenses.
- For Janus Henderson Dividend & Income Builder Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s total expenses were below the peer group average for all share classes.
- For Janus Henderson Value Plus Income Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s total expenses were below the peer group average for all share classes.

**Multi-Asset U.S. Equity Funds**
- For Janus Henderson Balanced Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses were equal to or exceeded the peer group average for certain share classes, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses, although this limit did not apply because the Fund’s total expenses were already below the applicable fee limit.
- For Janus Henderson Contrarian Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s total expenses were below the peer group average for all share classes.
- For Janus Henderson Enterprise Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s total expenses were below the peer group average for all share classes.
- For Janus Henderson Forty Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for certain share classes, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses, although this limit did not apply because the Fund’s total expenses were already below the applicable fee limit.
- For Janus Henderson Growth and Income Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for certain share classes, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses, although this limit did not apply because the Fund’s total expenses were already below the applicable fee limit.
- For Janus Henderson Research Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s total expenses were below the peer group average for all share classes.
- For Janus Henderson Triton Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s total expenses were below the peer group average for all share classes.
- For Janus Henderson U.S. Growth Opportunities Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for one share class, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses.
- For Janus Henderson Venture Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s total expenses were below the peer group average for all share classes.

**Quantitative Equity Funds**
- For Janus Henderson Emerging Markets Managed Volatility Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for certain share classes, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable taking into account the limited peer group for the Fund. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses.
- For Janus Henderson Global Income Managed Volatility Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s total expenses were below the peer group average for all share classes.
- For Janus Henderson International Managed Volatility Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for one share class, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses, although this limit did not apply because the Fund’s total expenses were already below the applicable fee limit.
- For Janus Henderson U.S. Managed Volatility Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for one share class, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses, although this limit did not apply because the Fund’s total expenses were already below the applicable fee limit.
**U.S. Equity Funds**

- For Janus Henderson Large Cap Value Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s total expenses were below the peer group average for all share classes.
- For Janus Henderson Mid Cap Value Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s total expenses were below the peer group average for all share classes.
- For Janus Henderson Select Value Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for certain share classes, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses.
- For Janus Henderson Small Cap Value Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for certain share classes, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses, although this limit did not apply because the Fund’s total expenses were already below the applicable fee limit.

The Trustees reviewed information on the overall profitability to Janus Capital and its affiliates of their relationship with the Janus Henderson Funds, and considered profitability data of other publicly traded fund managers. The Trustees recognized that profitability comparisons among fund managers are difficult because of the variation in the type of comparative information that is publicly available, and the profitability of any fund manager is affected by numerous factors, including the organizational structure of the particular fund manager, differences in complex size, differences in product mix, differences in types of business (mutual fund, institutional and other), differences in the methodology for allocating expenses, and the fund manager’s capital structure and cost of capital.

Additionally, the Trustees considered the estimated profitability to Janus Capital from the investment management services it provides to each Janus Henderson Fund. In their review, the Trustees considered whether Janus Capital and each subadviser receive adequate incentives and resources to manage the Janus Henderson Funds effectively. In reviewing profitability, the Trustees noted that the estimated profitability for an individual Janus Henderson Fund is necessarily a product of the allocation methodology utilized by Janus Capital to allocate its expenses as part of the estimated profitability calculation. In this regard, the Trustees noted that the independent fee consultant concluded that (1) the expense allocation methodology utilized by Janus Capital was reasonable and (2) the estimated profitability to Janus Capital from the investment management services it provided to each Janus Henderson Fund was reasonable. The Trustees also considered that the estimated profitability for an individual Janus Henderson Fund was influenced by a number of factors, including not only the allocation methodology selected, but also the presence of fee waivers and expense caps, and whether the Janus Henderson Fund’s investment management agreement contained breakpoints or a performance fee component. The Trustees determined, after taking into account these factors, among others, that Janus Capital’s estimated profitability with respect to each Janus Henderson Fund was not unreasonable in relation to the services provided, and that the variation in the range of such estimated profitability among the Janus Henderson Funds was not a material factor in the Board’s approval of the reasonableness of any Janus Henderson Fund’s investment management fees.

The Trustees concluded that the management fees payable by each Janus Henderson Fund to Janus Capital, as well as the fees paid by Janus Capital to the subadvisers of subadvised Janus Henderson Funds, were reasonable in relation to the nature, extent, and quality of the services provided, taking into account the fees charged by other advisers for managing comparable mutual funds with similar strategies, the fees Janus Capital and the subadvisers charge to other clients, and, as applicable, the impact of fund performance on management fees payable by the Janus Henderson Funds. The Trustees also concluded that each Janus Henderson Fund’s total expenses were reasonable, taking into account the size of the Janus Henderson Fund, the quality of services provided by Janus Capital and any subadviser, the investment performance of the Janus Henderson Fund, and any expense limitations agreed to or provided by Janus Capital.

**Economies of Scale**

The Trustees considered information about the potential for Janus Capital to realize economies of scale as the assets of the Janus Henderson Funds increase. They noted the independent fee consultant’s analysis of economies of scale in prior years. They also noted that, although many Janus Henderson Funds pay advisory fees at a base fixed rate as a percentage of net assets, without any breakpoints or performance fees, the independent fee consultant concluded that 74% of these Janus Henderson Funds’ share classes have contractual management fees (gross of waivers) below their Broadridge Expense Group averages. They also noted that for those Janus Henderson Funds whose expenses
are being reduced by contractual expense limitations with Janus Capital, Janus Capital is subsidizing certain of these Janus Henderson Funds because they have not reached adequate scale. Moreover, as the assets of some of the Janus Henderson Funds have declined in the past few years, certain Janus Henderson Funds have benefited from having advisory fee rates that have remained constant rather than increasing as assets declined. In addition, performance fee structures have been implemented for various Janus Henderson Funds that have caused the effective rate of advisory fees payable by such a Janus Henderson Fund to vary depending on the investment performance of the Janus Henderson Fund relative to its benchmark index over the measurement period; and a few Janus Henderson Funds have fee schedules with breakpoints and reduced fee rates above certain asset levels. The Trustees also noted that the Janus Henderson Funds share directly in economies of scale through the lower charges of third-party service providers that are based in part on the combined scale of all of the Janus Henderson Funds.

The Trustees also considered information provided by the independent fee consultant, which concluded that, given the limitations of various analytical approaches to economies of scale it had considered in prior years, and their conflicting results, it is difficult to analytically confirm or deny the existence of economies of scale in the Janus Henderson complex. The independent consultant further concluded that (1) to the extent there were economies of scale at Janus Capital, Janus Capital’s general strategy of setting fixed management fees below peers appeared to share any such economies with investors even on smaller Janus Henderson Funds which have not yet achieved those economies and (2) by setting lower fixed fees from the start on these Janus Henderson Funds, Janus Capital appeared to be investing to increase the likelihood that these Janus Henderson Funds will grow to a level to achieve any scale economies that may exist. Further, the independent fee consultant expressed the view that Janus Henderson Fund investors are well-served by the performance fee structures in place on the Janus Henderson Funds in light of any economies of scale that may be present at Janus Capital.

Based on all of the information they reviewed, including past research and analysis conducted by the Trustees’ independent fee consultant, the Trustees concluded that the current fee structure of each Janus Henderson Fund was reasonable and that the current rates of fees do reflect a sharing between Janus Capital and the Janus Henderson Fund of any economies of scale that may be present at the current asset level of the Janus Henderson Fund.

**Other Benefits to Janus Capital**

The Trustees also considered benefits that accrue to Janus Capital and its affiliates and subadvisers to the Janus Henderson Funds from their relationships with the Janus Henderson Funds. They recognized that two affiliates of Janus Capital separately serve the Janus Henderson Funds as transfer agent and distributor, respectively, and the transfer agent receives compensation directly from the non-money market funds for services provided, and that such compensation contributes to the overall profitability of Janus Capital and its affiliates that results from their relationship with the Janus Henderson Funds. The Trustees also considered Janus Capital’s and each subadviser’s past and proposed use of commissions paid by the Janus Henderson Funds on portfolio brokerage transactions to obtain proprietary and third-party research products and services benefiting the Janus Henderson Fund and/or other clients of Janus Capital and/or Janus Capital, and/or a subadviser to a Janus Henderson Fund. The Trustees concluded that Janus Capital’s and the subadvisers’ use of these types of client commission arrangements to obtain proprietary and third-party research products and services was likely to benefit each Janus Henderson Fund. The Trustees also concluded that, other than the services provided by Janus Capital and its affiliates and subadvisers pursuant to the agreements and the fees to be paid by each Janus Henderson Fund therefor, the Janus Henderson Funds and Janus Capital and the subadvisers may potentially benefit from their relationship with each other in other ways. They concluded that Janus Capital and its affiliates share directly in economies of scale through the lower charges of third-party service providers that are based in part on the combined scale of the Janus Henderson Funds and other clients serviced by Janus Capital and its affiliates. They also concluded that Janus Capital and/or the subadvisers benefit from the receipt of research products and services acquired through commissions paid on portfolio transactions of the Janus Henderson Funds and that the Janus Henderson Funds benefit from Janus Capital’s and/or the subadvisers’ receipt of those products and services as well as research products and services acquired through commissions paid by certain other clients of Janus Capital and/or other clients of the subadvisers. They further concluded that the success of any Janus Henderson Fund could attract other business to Janus Capital, the subadvisers or other Janus Henderson funds, and that the success of Janus Capital and the subadvisers could enhance Janus Capital’s and the subadvisers’ ability to serve the Janus Henderson Funds.
JANUS ASPEN SERIES

The Trustees of Janus Aspen Series, each of whom serves as an “independent” Trustee (the “Trustees”), oversee the management of each Portfolio of Janus Aspen Series (each, a “VIT Portfolio,” and collectively, the “VIT Portfolios”), as well as each Fund of Janus Investment Fund (together with the VIT Portfolios, the “Janus Henderson Funds,” and each, a “Janus Henderson Fund”). As required by law, the Trustees determine annually whether to continue the investment advisory agreement for each Janus Henderson Fund and the subadvisory agreement for each Janus Henderson Funds that utilizes a subadviser.

In connection with their most recent consideration of those agreements for each Janus Henderson Fund, the Trustees received and reviewed information provided by Janus Capital and each subadviser in response to requests of the Trustees and their independent legal counsel. They also received and reviewed information and analysis provided by, and in response to requests of, their independent fee consultant. Throughout their consideration of the agreements, the Trustees were advised by their independent legal counsel. The Trustees met with management to consider the agreements and the information provided, and also met separately in executive session with their independent legal counsel and their independent fee consultant.

At a meeting held on December 6, 2018, based on the Trustees’ evaluation of the information provided by Janus Capital, the subadvisers, and the independent fee consultant, as well as other information, the Trustees determined that the overall arrangements between each Janus Henderson Fund and Janus Capital and each subadviser, as applicable, were fair and reasonable in light of the nature, extent and quality of the services provided by Janus Capital, its affiliates and the subadvisers, the fees charged for those services, and other matters that the Trustees considered relevant in the exercise of their business judgment. At that meeting, the Trustees unanimously approved the continuation of the investment advisory agreement for each Janus Henderson Fund, and the subadvisory agreement for each subadvised Janus Henderson Fund, for the period from February 1, 2019 through February 1, 2020, subject to earlier termination as provided for in each agreement.

In considering the continuation of those agreements, the Trustees reviewed and analyzed various factors that they determined were relevant, including the factors described below, none of which by itself was considered dispositive. However, the material factors and conclusions that formed the basis for the Trustees’ determination to approve the continuation of the agreements are discussed separately below. Also included is a summary of the independent fee consultant’s conclusions and opinions that arose during, and were included as part of, the Trustees’ consideration of the agreements. “Management fees,” as used herein, refer to actual annual advisory fees (and, for the purposes of peer comparisons any administration fees excluding out of pocket costs), net of any waivers, paid by a fund as a percentage of average net assets.

Nature, Extent and Quality of Services

The Trustees reviewed the nature, extent and quality of the services provided by Janus Capital and the subadvisers to the Janus Henderson Funds, taking into account the investment objective, strategies and policies of each Janus Henderson Fund, and the knowledge the Trustees gained from their regular meetings with management on at least a quarterly basis and their ongoing review of information related to the Janus Henderson Funds. In addition, the Trustees reviewed the resources and key personnel of Janus Capital and each subadviser, particularly noting those employees who provide investment and risk management services to the Janus Henderson Funds. The Trustees also considered other services provided to the Janus Henderson Funds by Janus Capital or the subadvisers, such as managing the execution of portfolio transactions and the selection of broker-dealers for those transactions. The Trustees considered Janus Capital’s role as administrator to the Janus Henderson Funds, noting that Janus Capital does not receive a fee for its services but is reimbursed for its out-of-pocket costs. The Trustees considered the role of Janus Capital in monitoring adherence to the Janus Henderson Funds’ investment restrictions, providing support services for the Trustees and Trustee committees, and overseeing communications with fund shareholders and the activities of other service providers, including monitoring compliance with various policies and procedures of the Janus Henderson Funds and with applicable securities laws and regulations.

In this regard, the independent fee consultant noted that Janus Capital provides a number of different services for the Janus Henderson Funds and fund shareholders, ranging from investment management services to various other servicing functions, and that, in its view, Janus Capital is a capable provider of those services. The independent fee
consultant also expressed the view that Janus Capital has developed a number of institutional competitive advantages that should enable it to provide superior investment and service performance over the long term.

The Trustees concluded that the nature, extent and quality of the services provided by Janus Capital and the subadviser to each Janus Henderson Fund that utilizes a subadviser were appropriate and consistent with the terms of the respective investment advisory and subadvisory agreements, and that, taking into account steps taken to address those Janus Henderson Funds whose performance lagged that of their peers for certain periods, the Janus Henderson Funds were likely to benefit from the continued provision of those services. They also concluded that Janus Capital and each subadviser had sufficient personnel, with the appropriate education and experience, to serve the Janus Henderson Funds effectively and had demonstrated its ability to attract well-qualified personnel.

**Performance of the Funds**

The Trustees considered the performance results of each Janus Henderson Fund over various time periods. They noted that they considered Janus Henderson Fund performance data throughout the year, including periodic meetings with each Janus Henderson Fund’s portfolio manager(s), and also reviewed information comparing each Janus Henderson Fund’s performance with the performance of comparable funds and peer groups identified by Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc. (“Broadridge”), an independent data provider, and with the Janus Henderson Fund’s benchmark index. In this regard, the independent fee consultant found that the overall Janus Henderson Funds’ performance has been reasonable: for the 36 months ended September 30, 2018, approximately 48% of the Janus Henderson Funds were in the top two quartiles of performance, as reported by Morningstar, and for the 12 months ended September 30, 2018, approximately 56% of the Janus Henderson Funds were in the top two quartiles of performance, as reported by Morningstar.

The Trustees considered the performance of each Janus Henderson Fund, noting that performance may vary by share class, and noted the following with respect to the VIT Portfolios:

- For Janus Henderson Balanced Portfolio, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the first Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the first Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018.
- For Janus Henderson Enterprise Portfolio, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the first Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the second Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018.
- For Janus Henderson Flexible Bond Portfolio, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance and the steps Janus Capital had taken or was taking to improve performance.
- For Janus Henderson Forty Portfolio, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the third Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the third Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance, while also noting that the Fund has a performance fee structure that results in lower management fees during periods of underperformance, and the steps Janus Capital had taken or was taking to improve performance.
- For Janus Henderson Global Research Portfolio, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the third Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance and the steps Janus Capital had taken or was taking to improve performance.
- For Janus Henderson Global Technology Portfolio, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the first Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the first Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018.
- For Janus Henderson Global Unconstrained Bond Portfolio, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance and the steps Janus Capital had taken or was taking to improve performance.
For Janus Henderson Mid Cap Value Portfolio, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the second Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018.

For Janus Henderson Overseas Portfolio, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the second Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance, while also noting that the Fund has a performance fee structure that results in lower management fees during periods of underperformance, the steps Janus Capital had taken or was taking to improve performance, and that the performance trend was improving.

For Janus Henderson Research Portfolio, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the third Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the third Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance, while also noting that the Fund has a performance fee structure that results in lower management fees during periods of underperformance, and the steps Janus Capital had taken or was taking to improve performance.

For Janus Henderson U.S. Low Volatility Portfolio, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the third Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2018 and the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2018. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance and the steps Janus Capital and Intech had taken or were taking to improve performance.

In consideration of each Janus Henderson Fund’s performance, the Trustees concluded that, taking into account the factors relevant to performance, as well as other considerations, including steps taken to improve performance, the Janus Henderson Fund’s performance warranted continuation of such Janus Henderson Fund’s investment advisory and subadvisory agreement(s).

Costs of Services Provided
The Trustees examined information regarding the fees and expenses of each Janus Henderson Fund in comparison to similar information for other comparable funds as provided by Broadridge, an independent data provider. They also reviewed an analysis of that information provided by their independent fee consultant and noted that the management fee rate (investment advisory and any administration fees, but excluding out-of-pocket costs) for many of the Janus Henderson Funds, net of waivers, was below the average management fee rate of the respective peer group of funds selected by Broadridge. The Trustees also examined information regarding the subadvisory fees charged for subadvisory services, as applicable, noting that all such fees were paid by Janus Capital out of its management fees collected from such Janus Henderson Fund. The Trustees also considered the total expenses for each share class of each Janus Henderson Fund compared to the average total expenses for its Broadridge Expense Group peers and to average total expenses for its Broadridge Expense Universe.

The independent fee consultant expressed the view that the management fees charged by Janus Capital to each of the Janus Henderson Funds under the current investment advisory and administration agreements are reasonable in relation to the services provided by Janus Capital. At the fund complex level, the independent fee consultant found: (1) the total expenses and management fees of the Janus Henderson Funds to be reasonable relative to other mutual funds; (2) total expenses, on average, were 10% under the average total expenses for the respective Broadridge Expense Group peers and 19% under the average total expenses for the respective Broadridge Expense Universes; (3) management fees for the Janus Henderson Funds, on average, were 8% under the average management fees for the respective Expense Groups and 10% under the average for the respective Expense Universes; and (4) Janus Henderson Fund expenses by function for each asset and share class category were reasonable relative to peer benchmarks.

The independent fee consultant concluded that, based on its strategic review of expenses at the complex, category and individual share class level, Janus Henderson Fund expenses were found to be reasonable relative to peer benchmarks. Further, for certain Janus Henderson Funds, the independent fee consultant also performed a systematic “focus list” analysis of expenses in the context of the performance or service delivered to investors in each Janus Henderson Fund. Based on this analysis, the independent fee consultant found that the combination of service quality/performance and expenses on these individual Janus Henderson Funds were reasonable in light of performance trends, performance histories, and existence of performance fees, breakpoints, and expense waivers on such “focus list” Funds.
The Trustees considered the methodology used by Janus Capital and each subadviser in determining compensation payable to portfolio managers, the competitive environment for investment management talent, and the competitive market for mutual funds in different distribution channels.

The Trustees also reviewed management fees charged by Janus Capital and each subadviser to comparable separate account clients and to comparable non-affiliated funds subadvised by Janus Capital or by a subadviser (for which Janus Capital or the subadviser provides only or primarily portfolio management services). Although in most instances comparable subadvisory and separate account fee rates for various investment strategies were lower than management fee rates for Janus Henderson Funds having a similar strategy, while subadviser fee rates charged to the Janus Henderson Funds were generally within a reasonable range of the fee rates that the subadviser charges to comparable separate account clients or non-affiliated funds. The Trustees considered that Janus Capital noted that, under the terms of the management agreements with the Janus Henderson Funds, Janus Capital performs significant additional services for the Janus Henderson Funds that it does not provide to those other clients, including administration services, oversight of the Janus Henderson Funds’ other service providers, trustee support, regulatory compliance and numerous other services, and that, in serving the Janus Henderson Funds, Janus Capital assumes many legal risks and other costs that it does not assume in servicing its other clients. Moreover, the Trustees noted that the independent fee consultant found that: (1) the management fees Janus Capital charges to the Janus Henderson Funds are reasonable in relation to the management fees Janus Capital charges to its institutional clients and to the fees Janus Capital charges to funds subadvised by Janus Capital; (2) these institutional and subadvised accounts have different service and infrastructure needs; (3) Janus Henderson mutual fund investors enjoy reasonable fees relative to the fees charged to Janus Henderson institutional and subadvised fund investors; (4) in three of five product categories, the Janus Henderson Funds receive proportionally better pricing than the industry in relation to Janus Henderson institutional clients; and (5) in six of seven strategies, Janus Capital has lower management fees than the management fees charged to funds subadvised by Janus Capital.

The Trustees considered the fees for each Janus Henderson Fund for its fiscal year ended in 2017, including the VIT Portfolios, and noted the following with regard to each VIT Portfolio’s total expenses, net of applicable fee waivers (the VIT Portfolio’s “total expenses”):

- For Janus Henderson Balanced Portfolio, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for one share class, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable.
- For Janus Henderson Enterprise Portfolio, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for one share class, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable.
- For Janus Henderson Flexible Bond Portfolio, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for one share class, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses, although this limit did not apply because the Fund’s total expenses were already below the applicable fee limit.
- For Janus Henderson Forty Portfolio, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for one share class, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable.
- For Janus Henderson Global Research Portfolio, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s total expenses were below the peer group average for both share classes.
- For Janus Henderson Global Technology Portfolio, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s total expenses were below the peer group average for both share classes.
- For Janus Henderson Global Unconstrained Bond Portfolio, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for both share classes, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses.
- For Janus Henderson Mid Cap Value Portfolio, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s total expenses were below the peer group average for both share classes.
- For Janus Henderson Overseas Portfolio, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s total expenses were below the peer group average for both share classes.
- For Janus Henderson Research Portfolio, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s total expenses were below the peer group average for both share classes.
- For Janus Henderson U.S. Low Volatility Portfolio, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s total expenses were below the peer group average for its sole share class.
The Trustees reviewed information on the overall profitability to Janus Capital and its affiliates of their relationship with the Janus Henderson Funds, and considered profitability data of other publicly traded fund managers. The Trustees recognized that profitability comparisons among fund managers are difficult because of the variation in the type of comparative information that is publicly available, and the profitability of any fund manager is affected by numerous factors, including the organizational structure of the particular fund manager, differences in complex size, differences in product mix, differences in types of business (mutual fund, institutional and other), differences in the methodology for allocating expenses, and the fund manager’s capital structure and cost of capital.

Additionally, the Trustees considered the estimated profitability to Janus Capital from the investment management services it provides to each Janus Henderson Fund. In their review, the Trustees considered whether Janus Capital and each subadviser receive adequate incentives and resources to manage the Janus Henderson Funds effectively. In reviewing profitability, the Trustees noted that the estimated profitability for an individual Janus Henderson Fund is necessarily a product of the allocation methodology utilized by Janus Capital to allocate its expenses as part of the estimated profitability calculation. In this regard, the Trustees noted that the independent fee consultant concluded that (1) the expense allocation methodology utilized by Janus Capital was reasonable and (2) the estimated profitability to Janus Capital from the investment management services it provided to each Janus Henderson Fund was reasonable. The Trustees also considered that the estimated profitability for an individual Janus Henderson Fund was influenced by a number of factors, including not only the allocation methodology selected, but also the presence of fee waivers and expense caps, and whether the Janus Henderson Fund’s investment management agreement contained breakpoints or a performance fee component. The Trustees determined, after taking into account these factors, among others, that Janus Capital’s estimated profitability with respect to each Janus Henderson Fund was not unreasonable in relation to the services provided, and that the variation in the range of such estimated profitability among the Janus Henderson Funds was not a material factor in the Board’s approval of the reasonableness of any Janus Henderson Fund’s investment management fees.

The Trustees concluded that the management fees payable by each Janus Henderson Fund to Janus Capital, as well as the fees paid by Janus Capital to the subadvisers of subadvised Janus Henderson Funds, were reasonable in relation to the nature, extent, and quality of the services provided, taking into account the fees charged by other advisers for managing comparable mutual funds with similar strategies, the fees Janus Capital and the subadvisers charge to other clients, and, as applicable, the impact of fund performance on management fees payable by the Janus Henderson Funds. The Trustees also concluded that each Janus Henderson Fund’s total expenses were reasonable, taking into account the size of the Janus Henderson Fund, the quality of services provided by Janus Capital and any subadviser, the investment performance of the Janus Henderson Fund, and any expense limitations agreed to or provided by Janus Capital.

**Economies of Scale**

The Trustees considered information about the potential for Janus Capital to realize economies of scale as the assets of the Janus Henderson Funds increase. They noted the independent fee consultant’s analysis of economies of scale in prior years. They also noted that, although many Janus Henderson Funds pay advisory fees at a base fixed rate as a percentage of net assets, without any breakpoints or performance fees, the independent fee consultant concluded that 74% of these Janus Henderson Funds’ share classes have contractual management fees (gross of waivers) below their Broadridge Expense Group averages. They also noted that for those Janus Henderson Funds whose expenses are being reduced by contractual expense limitations with Janus Capital, Janus Capital is subsidizing certain of these Janus Henderson Funds because they have not reached adequate scale. Moreover, as the assets of some of the Janus Henderson Funds have declined in the past few years, certain Janus Henderson Funds have benefited from having advisory fee rates that have remained constant rather than increasing as assets declined. In addition, performance fee structures have been implemented for various Janus Henderson Funds that have caused the effective rate of advisory fees payable by such a Janus Henderson Fund to vary depending on the investment performance of the Janus Henderson Fund relative to its benchmark index over the measurement period; and a few Janus Henderson Funds have fee schedules with breakpoints and reduced fee rates above certain asset levels. The Trustees also noted that the Janus Henderson Funds share directly in economies of scale through the lower charges of third-party service providers that are based in part on the combined scale of all of the Janus Henderson Funds.

The Trustees also considered information provided by the independent fee consultant, which concluded that, given the limitations of various analytical approaches to economies of scale it had considered in prior years, and their conflicting results, it is difficult to analytically confirm or deny the existence of economies of scale in the Janus
Henderson complex. The independent consultant further concluded that (1) to the extent there were economies of scale at Janus Capital, Janus Capital’s general strategy of setting fixed management fees below peers appeared to share any such economies with investors even on smaller Janus Henderson Funds which have not yet achieved those economies and (2) by setting lower fixed fees from the start on these Janus Henderson Funds, Janus Capital appeared to be investing to increase the likelihood that these Janus Henderson Funds will grow to a level to achieve any scale economies that may exist. Further, the independent fee consultant expressed the view that Janus Henderson Fund investors are well-served by the performance fee structures in place on the Janus Henderson Funds in light of any economies of scale that may be present at Janus Capital.

Based on all of the information they reviewed, including past research and analysis conducted by the Trustees’ independent fee consultant, the Trustees concluded that the current fee structure of each Janus Henderson Fund was reasonable and that the current rates of fees do reflect a sharing between Janus Capital and the Janus Henderson Fund of any economies of scale that may be present at the current asset level of the Janus Henderson Fund.

Other Benefits to Janus Capital
The Trustees also considered benefits that accrue to Janus Capital and its affiliates and subadvisers to the Janus Henderson Funds from their relationships with the Janus Henderson Funds. They recognized that two affiliates of Janus Capital separately serve the Janus Henderson Funds as transfer agent and distributor, respectively, and the transfer agent receives compensation directly from the non-money market funds for services provided, and that such compensation contributes to the overall profitability of Janus Capital and its affiliates that results from their relationship with the Janus Henderson Funds. The Trustees also considered Janus Capital’s and each subadviser’s past and proposed use of commissions paid by the Janus Henderson Funds on portfolio brokerage transactions to obtain proprietary and third-party research products and services benefiting the Janus Henderson Fund and/or other clients of Janus Capital and/or Janus Capital, and/or a subadviser to a Janus Henderson Fund. The Trustees concluded that Janus Capital’s and the subadvisers’ use of these types of client commission arrangements to obtain proprietary and third-party research products and services was likely to benefit each Janus Henderson Fund. The Trustees also concluded that, other than the services provided by Janus Capital and its affiliates and subadvisers pursuant to the agreements and the fees to be paid by each Janus Henderson Fund therefor, the Janus Henderson Funds and Janus Capital and the subadvisers may potentially benefit from their relationship with each other in other ways. They concluded that Janus Capital and its affiliates share directly in economies of scale through the lower charges of third-party service providers that are based in part on the combined scale of the Janus Henderson Funds and other clients serviced by Janus Capital and its affiliates. They also concluded that Janus Capital and/or the subadvisers benefit from the receipt of research products and services acquired through commissions paid on portfolio transactions of the Janus Henderson Funds and that the Janus Henderson Funds benefit from Janus Capital’s and/or the subadvisers’ receipt of those products and services as well as research products and services acquired through commissions paid by certain other clients of Janus Capital and/or other clients of the subadvisers. They further concluded that the success of any Janus Henderson Fund could attract other business to Janus Capital, the subadvisers or other Janus Henderson funds, and that the success of Janus Capital and the subadvisers could enhance Janus Capital’s and the subadvisers’ ability to serve the Janus Henderson Funds.
2018 MANAGEMENT FEE EVALUATION
APPROVAL OF ADVISORY AGREEMENTS DURING THE PERIOD

JANUS INVESTMENT FUND AND JANUS ASPEN SERIES

The Trustees of Janus Investment Fund and Janus Aspen Series, each of whom serves as an “independent” Trustee (the “Trustees”), oversee the management of each Fund of Janus Investment Fund and each Portfolio of Janus Aspen Series (each, a “Fund” and collectively, the “Funds”), and as required by law, determine annually whether to continue the investment advisory agreement for each Fund and the subadvisory agreements for the 14 Funds that utilize subadvisers.

In connection with their most recent consideration of those agreements for each Fund, the Trustees received and reviewed information provided by Janus Capital and the respective subadvisers in response to requests of the Trustees and their independent legal counsel. They also received and reviewed information and analysis provided by, and in response to requests of, their independent fee consultant. Throughout their consideration of the agreements, the Trustees were advised by their independent legal counsel. The Trustees met with management to consider the agreements, and also met separately in executive session with their independent legal counsel and their independent fee consultant.

Additionally, in connection with their consideration of whether to continue the investment advisory agreement and subadvisory agreement for each Fund, as applicable, the Trustees also received and reviewed information in connection with the transaction to combine the respective businesses of Henderson Group plc and Janus Capital Group, Inc., the parent company of Janus Capital (the “Transaction”), announced in October 2016, which closed in the second quarter of 2017. In this regard, the Trustees reviewed information regarding the impact of the Transaction on the services to be provided by Janus Capital and each subadviser, as applicable, to the Funds under such agreements prior to the close of the Transaction as well as the services provided after the Transaction closed.

At a meeting held on December 7, 2017, based on the Trustees’ evaluation of the information provided by Janus Capital, the subadvisers, and the independent fee consultant, as well as other information, the Trustees determined that the overall arrangements between each Fund and Janus Capital and each subadviser, as applicable, were fair and reasonable in light of the nature, extent and quality of the services provided by Janus Capital, its affiliates and the subadvisers, the fees charged for those services, and other matters that the Trustees considered relevant in the exercise of their business judgment. At that meeting, the Trustees unanimously approved the continuation of the investment advisory agreement for each Fund, and the subadvisory agreement for each subadvised Fund, for the period from February 1, 2018 through February 1, 2019, subject to earlier termination as provided for in each agreement.

In considering the continuation of those agreements, the Trustees reviewed and analyzed various factors that they determined were relevant, including the factors described below, none of which by itself was considered dispositive. However, the material factors and conclusions that formed the basis for the Trustees’ determination to approve the continuation of the agreements are discussed separately below. Also included is a summary of the independent fee consultant’s conclusions and opinions that arose during, and were included as part of, the Trustees’ consideration of the agreements. “Management fees,” as used herein, reflect actual annual advisory fees and any administration fees (excluding out of pocket costs), net of any waivers.

Nature, Extent and Quality of Services
The Trustees reviewed the nature, extent and quality of the services provided by Janus Capital and the subadvisers to the Funds, taking into account the investment objective, strategies and policies of each Fund, and the knowledge the Trustees gained from their regular meetings with management on at least a quarterly basis and their ongoing review of information related to the Funds. In addition, the Trustees reviewed the resources and key personnel of Janus Capital and each subadviser, particularly noting those employees who provide investment and risk management services to the Funds. The Trustees also considered other services provided to the Funds by Janus Capital or the subadvisers, such as managing the execution of portfolio transactions and the selection of broker-dealers for those transactions. The Trustees considered Janus Capital’s role as administrator to the Funds, noting that Janus Capital does not receive a fee for its services but is reimbursed for its out-of-pocket costs. The Trustees considered the role of Janus Capital in monitoring adherence to the Funds’ investment restrictions, providing support services for the
Trustees and Trustee committees, and overseeing communications with shareholders and the activities of other service providers, including monitoring compliance with various policies and procedures of the Funds and with applicable securities laws and regulations.

In this regard, the independent fee consultant noted that Janus Capital provides a number of different services for the Funds and Fund shareholders, ranging from investment management services to various other servicing functions, and that, in its opinion, Janus Capital is a capable provider of those services. The independent fee consultant also provided its belief that Janus Capital has developed a number of institutional competitive advantages that should enable it to provide superior investment and service performance over the long term.

The Trustees concluded that the nature, extent and quality of the services provided by Janus Capital or the subadviser to each Fund were appropriate and consistent with the terms of the respective advisory and subadvisory agreements, and that, taking into account steps taken to address those Funds whose performance lagged that of their peers for certain periods, the Funds were likely to benefit from the continued provision of those services. They also concluded that Janus Capital and each subadviser had sufficient personnel, with the appropriate education and experience, to serve the Funds effectively and had demonstrated its ability to attract well-qualified personnel.

**Performance of the Funds**

The Trustees considered the performance results of each Fund over various time periods. They noted that they considered Fund performance data throughout the year, including periodic meetings with each Fund’s portfolio manager(s), and also reviewed information comparing each Fund’s performance with the performance of comparable funds and peer groups identified by Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc. (“Broadridge”), an independent data provider, and with the Fund’s benchmark index. In this regard, the independent fee consultant found that the overall Funds’ performance has been strong: for the 36 months ended September 30, 2017, approximately 70% of the Funds were in the top two quartiles of performance, as reported by Morningstar, and for the 12 months ended September 30, 2017, approximately 46% of the Funds were in the top two quartiles of performance, as reported by Morningstar.

The Trustees considered the performance of each Fund, noting that performance may vary by share class, and noted the following:

**Alternative Funds**
- For Janus Henderson Diversified Alternatives Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the second Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the second Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017.
- For Janus Henderson International Long/Short Equity Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance, the steps Janus Capital had taken or was taking to improve performance, and the Fund’s limited performance history.

**Asset Allocation Funds**
- For Janus Henderson Global Allocation Fund – Conservative, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the second Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance, the steps Janus Capital had taken or was taking to improve performance, and that the performance trend was improving.
- For Janus Henderson Global Allocation Fund – Growth, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the second Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance, the steps Janus Capital had taken or was taking to improve performance, and that the performance trend was improving.
- For Janus Henderson Global Allocation Fund – Moderate, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the third Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance and the steps Janus Capital had taken or was taking to improve performance.
**Fixed-Income Funds**

- For Janus Henderson Flexible Bond Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance and the steps Janus Capital had taken or was taking to improve performance.
- For Janus Henderson Global Bond Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the third Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance and the steps Janus Capital had taken or was taking to improve performance.
- For Janus Henderson Global Unconstrained Bond Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the third Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance and the steps Janus Capital had taken or was taking to improve performance.
- For Janus Henderson High-Yield Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the second Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the third Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017.
- For Janus Henderson Multi-Sector Income Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the first Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the second Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017.
- For Janus Henderson Real Return Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the first Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the first Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017.
- For Janus Henderson Short-Term Bond Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the third Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the first Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance and the steps Janus Capital had taken or was taking to improve performance.
- For Janus Henderson Strategic Income Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the first Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the second Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017.

**Global and International Equity Funds**

- For Janus Henderson Asia Equity Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the first Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the first Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017.
- For Janus Henderson Emerging Markets Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the first Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the third Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017.
- For Janus Henderson European Focus Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance and the steps Janus Capital had taken or was taking to improve performance.
- For Janus Henderson Global Equity Income Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the third Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the second Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance, the steps Janus Capital had taken or was taking to improve performance, and that the performance trend was improving.
- For Janus Henderson Global Life Sciences Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the second Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the second Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017.
- For Janus Henderson Global Real Estate Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the second Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the first Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017.
• For Janus Henderson Global Research Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the third Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the second Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance, while also noting that the Fund has a performance fee structure that results in lower management fees during periods of underperformance, the steps Janus Capital had taken or was taking to improve performance, and that the performance trend was improving.

• For Janus Henderson Global Select Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the third Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the first Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance, the steps Janus Capital had taken or was taking to improve performance, and that the performance trend was improving.

• For Janus Henderson Global Technology Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the third Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the third Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance and the steps Janus Capital had taken or was taking to improve performance.

• For Janus Henderson Global Value Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the third Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance, while also noting that the Fund has a performance fee structure that results in lower management fees during periods of underperformance, and the steps Janus Capital and Perkins had taken or were taking to improve performance.

• For Janus Henderson International Opportunities Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the second Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017.

• For Janus Henderson International Small Cap Fund, the Trustees noted that, due to limited performance for the Fund, performance history was not a material factor.

• For Janus Henderson International Value Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the third Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the third Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance.

• For Janus Henderson Overseas Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the first Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance, while also noting that the Fund has a performance fee structure that results in lower management fees during periods of underperformance, the steps Janus Capital had taken or was taking to improve performance, and that the performance trend was improving.

**Money Market Funds**

• For Janus Henderson Government Money Market Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the third Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the third Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance.

• For Janus Henderson Money Market Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the third Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the third Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance.

**Multi-Asset Funds**

• For Janus Henderson Adaptive Global Allocation Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the second Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017.

• For Janus Henderson All Asset Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the third Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the third Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance and the steps Janus Capital had taken or was taking to improve performance.

• For Janus Henderson Dividend & Income Builder Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the second Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the second Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017.
• For Janus Henderson Value Plus Income Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the second Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the second Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017.

**Multi-Asset U.S. Equity Funds**

• For Janus Henderson Balanced Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the first Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the first Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017.

• For Janus Henderson Contrarian Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the first Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance, while also noting that the Fund has a performance fee structure that results in lower management fees during periods of underperformance, the steps Janus Capital had taken or was taking to improve performance, and that the performance trend was improving.

• For Janus Henderson Enterprise Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the first Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the second Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017.

• For Janus Henderson Forty Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the first Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the third Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017.

• For Janus Henderson Growth and Income Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the second Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the first Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017.

• For Janus Henderson Research Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the second Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the third Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017.

• For Janus Henderson Triton Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the first Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the third Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017.

• For Janus Henderson U.S. Growth Opportunities Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance, the steps Janus Capital had taken or was taking to improve performance, and the Fund’s limited performance history.

• For Janus Henderson Venture Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the first Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017.

**Quantitative Equity Funds**

• For Janus Henderson Emerging Markets Managed Volatility Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance, the steps Janus Capital and Intech had taken or were taking to improve performance, and the Fund’s limited performance history.

• For Janus Henderson Global Income Managed Volatility Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the first Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the third Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017.

• For Janus Henderson International Managed Volatility Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the third Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance and the steps Janus Capital and Intech had taken or were taking to improve performance.

• For Janus Henderson U.S. Managed Volatility Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the second Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017.
**U.S. Equity Funds**

- For Janus Henderson Large Cap Value Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the third Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance, while also noting that the Fund has a performance fee structure that results in lower management fees during periods of underperformance, and the steps Janus Capital and Perkins had taken or were taking to improve performance.
- For Janus Henderson Mid Cap Value Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the second Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the second Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017.
- For Janus Henderson Select Value Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the first Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the second Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017.
- For Janus Henderson Small Cap Value Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the first Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the second Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017.

**Janus Aspen Series**

- For Janus Henderson Balanced Portfolio, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the first Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the first Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017.
- For Janus Henderson Enterprise Portfolio, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the first Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the second Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017.
- For Janus Henderson Flexible Bond Portfolio, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance and the steps Janus Capital had taken or was taking to improve performance.
- For Janus Henderson Forty Portfolio, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the first Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the third Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017.
- For Janus Henderson Global Allocation Portfolio – Moderate, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the second Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance, the steps Janus Capital had taken or was taking to improve performance, and that the performance trend was improving.
- For Janus Henderson Global Research Portfolio, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the third Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the third Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance, while also noting that the Fund has a performance fee structure that results in lower management fees during periods of underperformance, and the steps Janus Capital had taken or was taking to improve performance.
- For Janus Henderson Global Technology Portfolio, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the second Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the second Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017.
- For Janus Henderson Global Unconstrained Bond Portfolio, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance, the steps Janus Capital had taken or was taking to improve performance, and the Fund’s limited performance history.
- For Janus Henderson Mid Cap Value Portfolio, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the third Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the second Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance, while also noting that the Fund has a performance fee structure that results in lower management fees during periods of underperformance, the steps Janus Capital and Perkins had taken or were taking to improve performance, and that the performance trend was improving.
For Janus Henderson Overseas Portfolio, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the first Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017. The Trustees noted the reasons for the Fund’s underperformance, while also noting that the Fund has a performance fee structure that results in lower management fees during periods of underperformance, the steps Janus Capital had taken or was taking to improve performance, and that the performance trend was improving.

For Janus Henderson Research Portfolio, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the second Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the third Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017.

For Janus Henderson U.S. Low Volatility Portfolio, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s performance was in the first Broadridge quartile for the 36 months ended May 31, 2017 and the bottom Broadridge quartile for the 12 months ended May 31, 2017.

In consideration of each Fund’s performance, the Trustees concluded that, taking into account the factors relevant to performance, as well as other considerations, including steps taken to improve performance, the Fund’s performance warranted continuation of the Fund’s investment advisory and subadvisory agreement(s).

**Costs of Services Provided**

The Trustees examined information regarding the fees and expenses of each Fund in comparison to similar information for other comparable funds as provided by Broadridge, an independent data provider. They also reviewed an analysis of that information provided by their independent fee consultant and noted that the rate of management (investment advisory and any administration, but excluding out-of-pocket costs) fees for many of the Funds, after applicable waivers, was below the average management fee rate of the respective peer group of funds selected by an independent data provider. The Trustees also examined information regarding the subadvisory fees charged for subadvisory services, as applicable, noting that all such fees were paid by Janus Capital out of its management fees collected from such Fund.

The independent fee consultant provided its belief that the management fees charged by Janus Capital to each of the Funds under the current investment advisory and administration agreements are reasonable in relation to the services provided by Janus Capital. The independent fee consultant found: (1) the total expenses and management fees of the Funds to be reasonable relative to other mutual funds; (2) total expenses, on average, were 10% below the average total expenses of their respective Broadridge Expense Group peers and 18% below the average total expenses for their Broadridge Expense Universes; (3) management fees for the Funds, on average, were 8% below the average management fees for their Expense Groups and 9% below the average for their Expense Universes; and (4) Fund expenses at the functional level for each asset and share class category were reasonable. The Trustees also considered the total expenses for each share class of each Fund compared to the average total expenses for its Broadridge Expense Group peers and to average total expenses for its Broadridge Expense Universe.

The independent fee consultant concluded that, based on its strategic review of expenses at the complex, category and individual fund level, Fund expenses were found to be reasonable relative to both Expense Group and Expense Universe benchmarks. Further, for certain Funds, the independent fee consultant also performed a systematic “focus list” analysis of expenses in the context of the performance or service delivered to each set of investors in each share class in each selected Fund. Based on this analysis, the independent fee consultant found that the combination of service quality/performance and expenses on these individual Funds and share classes were reasonable in light of performance trends, performance histories, and existence of performance fees, breakpoints, and expense waivers on such Funds.

The Trustees considered the methodology used by Janus Capital and each subadviser in determining compensation payable to portfolio managers, the competitive environment for investment management talent, and the competitive market for mutual funds in different distribution channels.

The Trustees also reviewed management fees charged by Janus Capital and each subadviser to comparable separate account clients and to comparable non-affiliated funds subadvised by Janus Capital or by a subadviser (for which Janus Capital or the subadviser provides only or primarily portfolio management services). Although in most instances subadvisory and separate account fee rates for various investment strategies were lower than management fee rates for Funds having a similar strategy, the Trustees considered that Janus Capital noted that, under the terms
of the management agreements with the Funds, Janus Capital performs significant additional services for the Funds that it does not provide to those other clients, including administration services, oversight of the Funds’ other service providers, trustee support, regulatory compliance and numerous other services, and that, in serving the Funds, Janus Capital assumes many legal risks and other costs that it does not assume in servicing its other clients. Moreover, they noted that the independent fee consultant found that: (1) the management fees Janus Capital charges to the Funds are reasonable in relation to the management fees Janus Capital charges to its institutional clients and to the fees Janus Capital charges to funds subadvised by Janus Capital; (2) these institutional and subadvised accounts have different service and infrastructure needs; (3) Janus mutual fund investors enjoy reasonable fees relative to the fees charged to Janus institutional and subadvised fund investors; (4) in three of seven product categories, the Funds receive proportionally better pricing than the industry in relation to Janus institutional clients; and (5) in seven of eight strategies, Janus Capital has lower management fees than funds subadvised by Janus Capital’s portfolio managers.

The Trustees considered the fees for each Fund for its fiscal year ended in 2016, and noted the following with regard to each Fund’s total expenses, net of applicable fee waivers (the Fund’s “total expenses”):

**Alternative Funds**
- For Janus Henderson Diversified Alternatives Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for one share class, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses.
- For Janus Henderson International Long/Short Equity Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for one share class, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses effective June 5, 2017.

**Asset Allocation Funds**
- For Janus Henderson Global Allocation Fund – Conservative, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for certain share classes, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses, although this limit did not apply because the Fund’s total expenses were already below the applicable fee limit.
- For Janus Henderson Global Allocation Fund – Growth, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s total expenses were below the peer group average for all share classes.
- For Janus Henderson Global Allocation Fund – Moderate, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for certain share classes, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses.

**Fixed-Income Funds**
- For Janus Henderson Flexible Bond Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for certain share classes, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses, although this limit did not apply because the Fund’s total expenses were already below the applicable fee limit.
- For Janus Henderson Global Bond Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for certain share classes, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses.
- For Janus Henderson Global Unconstrained Bond Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund's total expenses exceeded the peer group average for certain share classes, overall the Fund's total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund's expenses, although this limit did not apply because the Fund's total expenses were already below the applicable fee limit.
- For Janus Henderson High-Yield Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for certain share classes, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses, although this limit did not apply because the Fund’s total expenses were already below the applicable fee limit.
- For Janus Henderson Multi-Sector Income Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for one share class, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses.
• For Janus Henderson Real Return Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for certain share classes, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses.

• For Janus Henderson Short-Term Bond Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for certain share classes, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to waive 11 basis points of management fees effective February 1, 2018 and also has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses.

• For Janus Henderson Strategic Income Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for one share class, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses effective June 5, 2017.

Global and International Equity Funds

• For Janus Henderson Asia Equity Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for certain share classes, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses.

• For Janus Henderson Emerging Markets Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for one share class, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses effective June 5, 2017.

• For Janus Henderson European Focus Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for one share class, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses effective June 5, 2017.

• For Janus Henderson Global Equity Income Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s total expenses were below the peer group average for all share classes.

• For Janus Henderson Global Life Sciences Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s total expenses were below the peer group average for all share classes.

• For Janus Henderson Global Real Estate Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average one share class, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses, although this limit did not apply because the Fund’s total expenses were already below the applicable fee limit.

• For Janus Henderson Global Research Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s total expenses were below the peer group average for all share classes.

• For Janus Henderson Global Select Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for one share class, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses, although this limit did not apply because the Fund’s total expenses were already below the applicable fee limit.

• For Janus Henderson Global Technology Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s total expenses were below the peer group average for all share classes.

• For Janus Henderson Global Value Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s total expenses were below the peer group average for all share classes.

• For Janus Henderson International Opportunities Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for certain share classes, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses effective June 5, 2017.

• For Janus Henderson International Small Cap Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for one share class, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses effective June 5, 2017.

• For Janus Henderson International Value Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for one share class, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses.

• For Janus Henderson Overseas Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s total expenses were below the peer group average for all share classes.
**Money Market Funds**

- For Janus Henderson Government Money Market Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s total expenses were below the peer group average for both share classes. In addition, the Trustees considered that Janus Capital voluntarily waives one-half of its advisory fee and other expenses in order to maintain a positive yield.
- For Janus Henderson Money Market Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s total expenses were below the peer group average for both share classes. In addition, the Trustees considered that Janus Capital voluntarily waives one-half of its advisory fee and other expenses in order to maintain a positive yield.

**Multi-Asset Funds**

- For Janus Henderson Adaptive Global Allocation Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for one share class, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses.
- For Janus Henderson All Asset Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for one share class, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s total expenses effective June 5, 2017.
- For Janus Henderson Dividend & Income Builder Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for certain share classes, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses effective June 5, 2017.
- For Janus Henderson Value Plus Income Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s total expenses were below the peer group average for all share classes.

**Multi-Asset U.S. Equity Funds**

- For Janus Henderson Balanced Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for certain share classes, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses, although this limit did not apply because the Fund’s total expenses were already below the applicable fee limit.
- For Janus Henderson Contrarian Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for one share class, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses, although this limit did not apply because the Fund’s total expenses were already below the applicable fee limit.
- For Janus Henderson Enterprise Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for certain share classes, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses, although this limit did not apply because the Fund’s total expenses were already below the applicable fee limit.
- For Janus Henderson Forty Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for certain share classes, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses, although this limit did not apply because the Fund’s total expenses were already below the applicable fee limit.
- For Janus Henderson Growth and Income Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for certain share classes, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses, although this limit did not apply because the Fund’s total expenses were already below the applicable fee limit.
- For Janus Henderson Research Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses were equal to or exceeded the peer group average for certain share classes, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses effective February 1, 2017.
- For Janus Henderson Triton Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s total expenses were below the peer group average for all share classes.
- For Janus Henderson U.S. Growth Opportunities Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for one share class, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses effective June 5, 2017.
• For Janus Henderson Venture Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s total expenses were below the peer group average for all share classes.

Quantitative Equity Funds

• For Janus Henderson Emerging Markets Managed Volatility Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for certain share classes, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses.

• For Janus Henderson Global Income Managed Volatility Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s total expenses were below the peer group average for all share classes.

• For Janus Henderson International Managed Volatility Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for one share class, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses.

• For Janus Henderson U.S. Managed Volatility Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for one share class, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses, although this limit did not apply because the Fund’s total expenses were already below the applicable fee limit.

U.S. Equity Funds

• For Janus Henderson Large Cap Value Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s total expenses were below the peer group average for all share classes.

• For Janus Henderson Mid Cap Value Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s total expenses were below the peer group average for all share classes.

• For Janus Henderson Select Value Fund, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s total expenses were below the peer group averages for all share classes.

• For Janus Henderson Small Cap Value Fund, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for certain share classes, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses, although this limit did not apply because the Fund’s total expenses were already below the applicable fee limit.

Janus Aspen Series

• For Janus Henderson Balanced Portfolio, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for one share class, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable.

• For Janus Henderson Enterprise Portfolio, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for one share class, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable.

• For Janus Henderson Flexible Bond Portfolio, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for one share class, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses, although this limit did not apply because the Fund’s total expenses were already below the applicable fee limit.

• For Janus Henderson Forty Portfolio, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for one share class, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable.

• For Janus Henderson Global Allocation Portfolio - Moderate, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for one share class, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses.

• For Janus Henderson Global Research Portfolio, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s total expenses were below the peer group average for both share classes.

• For Janus Henderson Global Technology Portfolio, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s total expenses were below the peer group average for both share classes.

• For Janus Henderson Global Unconstrained Bond Portfolio, the Trustees noted that, although the Fund’s total expenses exceeded the peer group average for one share class, overall the Fund’s total expenses were reasonable. The Trustees also noted that Janus Capital has contractually agreed to limit the Fund’s expenses.

• For Janus Henderson Mid Cap Value Portfolio, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s total expenses were below the peer group average for both share classes.

• For Janus Henderson Overseas Portfolio, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s total expenses were below the peer group average for both share classes.
• For Janus Henderson Research Portfolio, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s total expenses were below the peer group average for both share classes.
• For Janus Henderson U.S. Low Volatility Portfolio, the Trustees noted that the Fund’s total expenses were below the peer group average for its sole share class.

The Trustees reviewed information on the overall profitability to Janus Capital and its affiliates of their relationship with the Funds, and considered profitability data of other fund managers. The Trustees also considered the financial information, estimated profitability and corporate structure of Janus Capital’s parent company before and after the Transaction. The Trustees recognized that profitability comparisons among fund managers are difficult because of the variation in the type of comparative information that is publicly available, and the profitability of any fund manager is affected by numerous factors, including the organizational structure of the particular fund manager, the types of funds and other accounts it manages, possible other lines of business, the methodology for allocating expenses, and the fund manager’s capital structure and cost of capital. The Trustees also noted that the Trustees’ independent fee consultant reviewed the overall profitability of Janus Capital’s parent company prior to the Transaction, and the independent fee consultant found that, while assessing the reasonableness of Fund expenses in light of such profits was dependent on comparisons with other publicly-traded mutual fund advisers, and that these comparisons were limited in accuracy by differences in complex size, business mix, institutional account orientation and other factors, after accepting these limitations, the level of profit earned by Janus Capital’s parent company was reasonable. In this regard, the independent consultant concluded that the profitability of Janus Capital’s parent company did not show excess nor did it show any insufficiency that could limit the ability to invest the resources needed to drive strong future investment performance on behalf of the Funds.

Additionally, the Trustees considered the estimated profitability to Janus Capital from the investment management services it provided to each Fund. The Trustees also considered such estimated profitability taking into account the impact of the Transaction on Janus Capital’s expense structure on a pro forma basis. In their review, the Trustees considered whether Janus Capital and each subadviser receive adequate incentives and resources to manage the Funds effectively. In reviewing profitability, the Trustees noted that the estimated profitability for an individual Fund is necessarily a product of the allocation methodology utilized by Janus Capital to allocate its expenses as part of the estimated profitability calculation. In this regard, the Trustees noted that the independent fee consultant concluded that (1) the expense allocation methodology utilized by Janus Capital was reasonable and (2) the estimated profitability to Janus Capital from the investment management services it provided to each Fund was reasonable, including after taking into account the impact of the Transaction on Janus Capital’s expense structure on a pro forma basis. The Trustees also considered that the estimated profitability for an individual Fund was influenced by a number of factors, including not only the allocation methodology selected, but also the presence of fee waivers and expense caps, and whether the Fund’s investment management agreement contained breakpoints or a performance fee component. The Trustees determined, after taking into account these factors, among others, that Janus Capital’s estimated profitability with respect to each Fund was not unreasonable in relation to the services provided, and that the variation in the range of such estimated profitability among the Funds was not a material factor in the Board’s approval of the reasonableness of any Fund’s investment management fees.

The Trustees concluded that the management fees payable by each Fund to Janus Capital and its affiliates, as well as the fees paid by Janus Capital to the subadvisers of subadvised Funds, were reasonable in relation to the nature, extent, and quality of the services provided, taking into account the fees charged by other advisers for managing comparable mutual funds with similar strategies, the fees Janus Capital and the subadvisers charge to other clients, and, as applicable, the impact of fund performance on management fees payable by the Funds. The Trustees also concluded that each Fund’s total expenses were reasonable, taking into account the size of the Fund, the quality of services provided by Janus Capital and any subadviser, the investment performance of the Fund, and any expense limitations agreed to or provided by Janus Capital.

Economies of Scale
The Trustees considered information about the potential for Janus Capital to realize economies of scale as the assets of the Funds increase. They noted their independent fee consultant’s analysis of economies of scale in prior years. They also noted that, although many Funds pay advisory fees at a base fixed rate as a percentage of net assets, without any breakpoints or performance fees, their independent fee consultant concluded that 86% of these Funds’ share classes have contractual management fees (gross of waivers) below their Broadridge expense group averages. They also noted that for those Funds whose expenses are being reduced by the contractual expense limitations of
Janus Capital, Janus Capital is subsidizing certain of these Funds because they have not reached adequate scale. Moreover, as the assets of some of the Funds have declined in the past few years, certain Funds have benefited from having advisory fee rates that have remained constant rather than increasing as assets declined. In addition, performance fee structures have been implemented for various Funds that have caused the effective rate of advisory fees payable by such a Fund to vary depending on the investment performance of the Fund relative to its benchmark index over the measurement period; and a few Funds have fee schedules with breakpoints and reduced fee rates above certain asset levels. The Trustees also noted that the Funds share directly in economies of scale through the lower charges of third-party service providers that are based in part on the combined scale of all of the Funds. Based on all of the information they reviewed, including past research and analysis conducted by the Trustees’ independent fee consultant, the Trustees concluded that the current fee structure of each Fund was reasonable and that the current rates of fees do reflect a sharing between Janus Capital and the Fund of any economies of scale that may be present at the current asset level of the Fund.

The independent fee consultant concluded that, given the limitations of various analytical approaches to economies of scale it had considered in prior years, and their conflicting results, it is difficult to analytically confirm or deny the existence of economies of scale in the Janus complex. The independent consultant concluded that (1) to the extent there were economies of scale at Janus Capital, Janus Capital’s general strategy of setting fixed management fees below peers appeared to share any such economies with investors even on smaller Funds which have not yet achieved those economies and (2) by setting lower fixed fees from the start on these Funds, Janus Capital appeared to be investing to increase the likelihood that these Funds will grow to a level to achieve any scale economies that may exist. Further, the independent fee consultant provided its belief that Fund investors are well-served by the fee levels and performance fee structures in place on the Funds in light of any economies of scale that may be present at Janus Capital.

Other Benefits to Janus Capital
The Trustees also considered benefits that accrue to Janus Capital and its affiliates and subadvisers to the Funds from their relationships with the Funds. They recognized that two affiliates of Janus Capital separately serve the Funds as transfer agent and distributor, respectively, and the transfer agent receives compensation directly from the non-money market funds for services provided. The Trustees also considered Janus Capital’s past and proposed use of commissions paid by the Funds on portfolio brokerage transactions to obtain proprietary and third-party research products and services benefiting the Fund and/or other clients of Janus Capital and/or Janus Capital, and/or a subadviser to a Fund. The Trustees concluded that Janus Capital’s and the subadvisers’ use of these types of client commission arrangements to obtain proprietary and third-party research products and services was consistent with regulatory requirements and guidelines and was likely to benefit each Fund. The Trustees also concluded that, other than the services provided by Janus Capital and its affiliates and subadvisers pursuant to the agreements and the fees to be paid by each Fund therefor, the Funds and Janus Capital and the subadvisers may potentially benefit from their relationship with each other in other ways. They concluded that Janus Capital and/or the subadvisers benefits from the receipt of research products and services acquired through commissions paid on portfolio transactions of the Funds and that the Funds benefit from Janus Capital’s and/or the subadvisers’ receipt of those products and services as well as research products and services acquired through commissions paid by other clients of Janus Capital and/or other clients of the subadvisers. They further concluded that the success of any Fund could attract other business to Janus Capital, the subadvisers or other Janus funds, and that the success of Janus Capital and the subadvisers could enhance Janus Capital’s and the subadvisers’ ability to serve the Funds.